	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/606,573	KARAKASHIAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PELING A. SHAW	2444
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>PELING A. SHAW</u> .	(3)	
(2) Nathan L. Feld (reg. no. 59,726).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>2 February 2010</u>	Time: <u>12:38 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant and Examiner have discussed and agreed upon a proposed Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Peling A Shaw/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	tive Signature – if appropriate)